# Cross-Correlation of WMAP7 and WISE Full Data Release

A story about stars and stripes and cosmic variance...

#### István Szapudi<sup>1</sup>, András Kovács<sup>2</sup>, Ben Granett<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii <sup>2</sup>Eötvös University <sup>3</sup>INAF Brera



≣ ▶









∃ 990

### Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect

 Photons passing through changing gravitational potentials are becoming slightly hotter or colder

$$\Delta T_{\rm ISW} \simeq \int d au rac{d\Phi}{d au},$$

where

$$\frac{d\Phi(\mathbf{x})}{d\tau} = \frac{\Phi(\mathbf{x})}{(1+z)} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ (1+z)D_1(z) \right]$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Linear ISW as a Signature of DE

- In a flat, matter dominated universe  $D_1 = 1/(1 + z)$ ,
- There is a linear effect if  $\Omega_M \neq 1$ , e.g.,  $\Lambda CDM$
- If the universe is flat (e.g., from CMB), linear ISW effect signals Dark Energy
- Cross-correlation between CMB and LSS

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{l}^{g op} &= T_{CMB}rac{3\Omega_{m}H_{0}^{2}}{c^{2}}b_{g}rac{2}{\pi}\int k^{2}dkP_{k} imes \ &\int k^{-2}rac{d(1+z)D_{1}(z)}{dr}j_{l}(kr)dr\int r'^{2}\phi(r')j_{l}(kr')dr' \end{aligned}$$

Caviat: there can be a non-linear effect as well

크 - 크

#### Cross-correlation has been measured WMAP+other surveys

- optical: Scranton et al. (2003); Fosalba et al. (2003); Padmanabhan et al. (2005); Granett et al. (2008); Papai et al. (2011)
- infrared: Afshordi et al. (2004), Francis & Peacock (2010)
- radio Nolta et al. (2004); Raccanelli et al. (2008)
- X-ray background: Boughn and Crittenden (2004)
- combination: Ho etal (2008), Gianantonio etal (2008)
- WISE: Goto etal (2012), Kovacs etal (2012, this work)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨトー

- WMAP 7 Year Q,V,W foreground removed maps
- 71% of sky Galactic mask
- Wide Infrared Survey Explorer survey
- WISE Full Data Release 3.4, 4.6, 12, 22 μm source catalogs
- b-cut 10, 15, 20
- Healpix maps with nside=128 (27.5 arcminute pixels)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座





# WISE galaxy catalog

- Star-galaxy separation with colors
- W1-W2 > 0.2
- W2-W3 > 2.9
- bright selection W1 < 15.2: uniform catalog</p>
- data quality flags are used to filter data (bright stars, ghost images, etc)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座

#### Star-Galaxy Separation Goto etal (2012)



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Introduction

Measurements

### Stars and Stripes

Mollweide view

-23.88527421

133.34492875

I. Szapudi WISE\*WMAP

## WISE Mask

- stripes originating from the Moon
- Moon contamination flag is used to create mask
- 'moonlev' > 3 in any band is masked out
- This effectively removed the stripe pattern
- We tested that 14.9 magnitude cut further reduced residual effects but did not change final results/significances





# **Bright Star Correction**

- Ticho2 star catalog
- V < 14 mag</p>
- Star Halo Radius = 9.52-0.74V arc min
- WISE objects within the halo are removed
- Galaxy counts corrected to lost area

코 > 코



문어 세 문어

æ



I. Szapudi

## **Catalog Properties**

	Mask	Area[ <i>deg</i> <sup>2</sup> ]	$N_{gal}^{noflag}$	N <sup>starcorr</sup> gal	N <sub>gal</sub>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>b</i>   > 10	26443	1662995	2016563	2234370
b  > 2023167152175518141561945517 b  > 10109677825029762091057073	<i>b</i>   > 15	25248	1617745	1948454	2129013
<i>b</i>   > 10 10967 782502 976209 1057073	<i>b</i>   > 20	23167	1521755	1814156	1945517
	<i>b</i>   > 10	10967	782502	976209	1057073

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

∃ 990

### Power spectra and bias

٠

Calculate auto and cross C<sub>l</sub> spectra (SpICE, Planck version)

$$C_l^{gg} = b_g^2 \frac{2}{\pi} \int \left[ \int r^2 \phi(r) j_l(kr) dr \right]^2 k^2 P(k) dk,$$

- redshift distribution from matching with GAMA (Galaxy and Mass Assembly, Driver etal 2011)
- about 200,000 galaxies to r<sub>AB</sub> < 20.5</li>
- 82% match with 3" matching radius
- we fit *b*<sub>g</sub> = 1.04
- median  $z \simeq 0.15$
- o cosmology: WMAP7

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一座



I. Szapudi



I. Szapudi WISE\*WMAP

₹ 9 **0 0** 

#### Main Result Angular Cross Power Spectrum of WMAP and WISE



I. Szapudi WISE\*WMAP





▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 臣 • 夕々 (や

# Significance tests

Mask	ISW Model	$\chi^2$	$\Delta \chi^2$	Amplitude	$\sigma$
	Zero	3.07	-		
<i>b</i>   > 10	Best-fit	2.20	0.87	$\textbf{0.8}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	0.9
	$\wedge CDM$	2.26	0.81		
	Zero	2.71	-		
<i>b</i>   > 15	Best-fit	2.13	0.58	$\textbf{0.7}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	0.9
	$\wedge CDM$	2.27	0.44		
-	Zero	2.32	-		
<i>b</i>   > 20	Best-fit	1.63	0.69	$\textbf{0.8}\pm\textbf{0.8}$	1.0
	$\wedge CDM$	1.74	0.58		
<i>b</i>   > 10	Zero	5.64	-		
preliminary	Best-fit	2.91	2.73	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{1.2}$	1.9
area only	$\wedge CDM$	3.74	1.90		

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ≧▶ ◆ ≧▶ ─ 差 − のへぐ

#### Comparison with Goto etal 2012 Differences

- Full vs pre-release data
- 71% mask vs 78%
- 2.4 times more area
- flagged objects are omitted
- MOONLEV mask vs hand drawn masks
- Tycho2 star catalogue vs phenomenological correction

프 > 프

### Pre-Release – Final Release



#### Comparison with Goto etal 2012 Results

- changing to pre-release data increases signal by about 10%
- $C_{\ell}$ 's and errorbars agree well
- Nevertheless the significance in the pre-release area is only about 2σ vs 3σ for Goto etal (except when individual *C*<sub>l</sub>'s are used)
- The results appear to be robust against the slight changes in methods
- Nevertheless no perfect agreement is expected from a ground up new analysis with new data
- Cosmic variance is responsible for a large part of the difference

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三油

## Summary

- We cross correlated WMAP with WISE final release
- non-significant correlation for the full sky
- now consistent with LCDM
- much larger signal in preliminary area:consistent with cosmic variance
- Goto etal higher significance: stars and stripes and cosmic variance...
- no color dependence Q,V,W
- robust for b-cut (10,15,20)
- Final release is consistent although slightly lower than pre-release
- future: pushing to higher z, super structures, galaxy power spectrum