Subaru Weak Lensing Studies of X-ray luminous clusters

Masahiro Takada (IPMU, U. Tokyo)

INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE

@KIAS, Nov 4, 2010

3rd year anniversary photo



We are still looking for new members

Collaborators

- T. Futamase (Tohoku U.) M. Oguri (NAOJ)
- **N. Okabe (Taiwan)** G. P. Smith (Birmingham)
- K. Takahashi (Tohoku U.)
- K. Umetsu (ASIAA Taiwan)
- T. Broadhurst (Tel Aviv)

Most part of this talk is mainly based on Okabe, MT, Umetsu, Futamase, Smith, arXiv:0903:1103, PASJ in press Oguri, MT, Okabe, Smith, arXiv:1004.4214, MNRAS in press

CDM-dominated hierarchical structure formation scenario

125 Mpc/h

massive clusters

Appearance of clusters is the natural consequence of nonlinear clustering in a CDM model

Most massive clusters (10^15 Ms): a few per 1Gpc^3

From Millennium Simulation Project

Galaxy Clusters

- Most massive gravitationally bound objects
 - $-10^{14} \sim 10^{15} \text{ M}_{sun} (100 1000 \text{ galaxies})$
 - Strongest S/N of the lensing signals
 - DM plays a dominant role to the formation ⇔ for a galaxy, baryonic effect is important
 - Suitable for testing the CDM scenarios on small scales <1Mpc
- Astronomically very interesting objects to study
 - Seen with various wavelengths (radio, optical, X-ray)
 - Connection between DM (gravity), hot gas (baryonic matter) and galaxies (a tiny part of baryons); 100:10:1





Cosmological Use of Clusters: Halo Mass Function

Tiny density fluctuations at z~1000: $\delta_m \sim 10^{-3}$

Gravitational instability (gravity ⇔ cosmic expansion)

$$\delta_m + 2H\delta_m - 4\pi G\overline{\rho}_m \delta_m = 0$$

Halo formation at
$$z\sim0: \delta_m >>1$$

Gaussian seed density fluctuations +Spherical collapse model (or N-body simulation) Mass function: $\frac{dn}{dM} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\delta_c^2}{2\sigma^2(M)}\right)$

@cluster mass scales

The mass function can be a powerful probe of cosmology (e.g. DE)

Halo mass function (contd.)



Issue: cluster mass



Cosmological Use of Clusters: Halo Mass Function



Cluster mass (contd.)

- In a real world, there is no unique definition of cluster mass; no clear boundary with the surrounding structures
 - Need to estimate the mass such that the definition is closer to the way used in simulations; e.g. spherical overdensity mass
- Have to infer cluster masses (including DM) from the observables (optical, X-ray, *lensing*)
- Cluster counting experiment requires the well-calibrated mass-observable relation for cosmology
 - For future surveys (e.g. SPT-like survey with 4000 deg^2), the mass proxy relation needs to be known to a few % accuracy $\sigma_{lnM} \sim 0.01$

Vikhlinin et al. 2009: Chandra



Ωχ

from Fig. 1, which for the high-z cluster we show only the r models are computed for a cosmology with $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$. Both t function is changed because it is derived for a different dist thresholds corresponding to Δ_{crit} = 500 are different. Whe of z > 0.55 clusters is in strong disagreement with the data

State-of-the-art mass proxy



Internal structure of halo

Simulation-based predictions: the appearance of a characteristic, universal density profile (Navarro, Frenk & White 96, 97; NFW profile)



In addition, halo shape is by nature triaxial (Jing & Suto 01)

NFW profile

- An NFW profile is specified by 2 parameters
- Useful to express the NFW profile in terms of the cluster mass and the halo concentration parameter

$$\rho_{\rm NFW}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)(1 + r/r_s)^2}$$

+ $\begin{cases} M_{\Delta} = \frac{4\pi}{3} r_{\Delta}^{3} \overline{\rho}_{m} \Delta & : \text{ defines the halo boundary for a given } \Delta \\ M_{\Delta} = \int_{r < r_{\Delta}} 4\pi r^{2} dr \ \rho_{NFW}(r) & : \text{ sets the interior mass of } \rho_{NFW} \text{ to } M_{\Delta} \end{cases}$

$$\rho_{\rm NFW}(r; M_{\Delta}, c_{\Delta})$$
 (note: $c_{\Delta} \equiv r_{\Delta}/r_s$)

• Can infer the halo mass from the measured halo profile

"Shape" of dark matter halo

- Mass accretion onto a cluster region is preferentially along the surrounding filamentary structures
- Therefore, the mass accretion is not spherical
- Shape of dark matter halos is triaxial by nature, even in a statistical sense
- A triaxial halo model gives a better fit to simulated halos (Jing & Suto 01)



From H. Yahagi (Kyoto U.)

Gravitational Lensing = method to *"see"* invisibles



Galaxy Cluster Abell 2218 NASA, A. Fruchter and the ERO Team (STScl) • STScl-PRC00-08

HST • WFPC2

Note: strong and weak lensing

•Strong Lensing

- Multiple Images
- Large Arcs, Ring
- Obvious Distortion
- •Weak Lensing
- Slight Stretching
- Distortion smallcompared to initial shape
- Statistical lensing

These two regime lensing are very complementary! The combination allows to probe the entire region of cluster (Broadhurst, MT+05)



Measuring shear (contd.)

Kaiser, Squires & Broadhurst 95

• In reality source galaxy has intrinsic shape: $|\epsilon| \sim 0.3$



Step 1: Quantify the shape of each galaxy in terms of its surface brightness profile

$$\gamma_i^{\text{obs}} \approx \gamma_i + \varepsilon_i$$

Step 2: If the intrinsic shapes have random orientations

- To make an accurate measurement of the lensing shearing effect, we need
 - High-quality image to measure galaxy shapes
 - Higher number density of distant galaxies (i.e., deep imaging data) to reduce the intrinsic ellip. contam.

Subaru Telescope: Best facility for WL measurement

- Only Subaru has the prime focus camera, Suprime-Cam, among other 8-10m class telescope: the wide field-of-view (0.25 sq deg)
- Excellent image quality allows accurate shape measurements of galaxies
- Deep images allow the use of many galaxies for the WL: higher spatial resolution



Subaru capability for WL measurement



• Subaru (S-Cam) is currently the best instrument for measuring WL signal, thanks to the excellent image quality

Subaru fo

Example: A1689 (z=0.18)



- Virial radius of a massive cluster
- Subaru FoV covers the virial region of a cluster at z~0.2

The best case: A1689 (z=0.18)



LoCuSS

(The Local Cluster Substructure Survey)

- International collaboration (PI: G.P.Smith; Europe, Japan, USA)
- Explore a systematic study of ~100 X-ray luminous clusters in the redshift range 0.15-0.3
- The multi-wavelengths: *Subaru*, Palomar, VLT, UKIRT, HST, GALEX, Spitzer, Chandra, XMM, SZA, *MMT/Hectospec*



- Subaru/Suprime-Cam data for ~30 clusters (24 have 2 filter data)
 - Unbiased cluster sample (not based on strong lensing)
 - The FoV of S-Cam matches the virial region of clusters at the target redshifts (~0.2)
 - Add more clusters: ~60 clusters within this year

Multi-wavelength study of galaxy clusters

Spitzer









Chandra







LoCuSS: Subaru weak lens study

~30 clusters (Okabe, MT, Umetsu, Smith+10)



- Subaru is a superb facility for WL measurement
- A detailed study of cluster physics (e.g. the nature of dark matter)



Example 2: A2261



Virial mass estimation





Mass determination (contd.)



- A best accuracy in *M* is 10-20% when Δ =500-1000 is assumed
 - Over the radii the lensing signals have a largest S/N
- The concentration parameter is most accurately measured for the virial definition

WL vs. X-ray (Subaru vs. XMM)





Full use of 2D shear map

Oguri, MT, + 10 A2390



- The cluster mass distribution is far from spherical symmetry, as predicted from the collisionless CDM model.
- Jing & Suto showed that simulated halos can be better described by a triaxial halo model than the spherical one
- Projecting the triaxial halo model along the l.o.s. gives the 2D mass density:

$$\kappa(x, y) = \kappa_{\rm sph}(\zeta),$$

$$\zeta^2 = \frac{x'^2}{1 - e} + (1 - e)y'^2,$$

$$x' = x\cos\theta_e + y\sin\theta_e,$$

$$y' = -x\sin\theta_e + y\cos\theta_e,$$

2D shear fitting



•In this particular case, $e_2D=1-b/a^2$

•Note that the iso-contours of shear amplitudes are not elliptical, needs to solve the 2D Poisson equation.



ADDITED DUVOLOG (00

Astronomical Observatory of Japan





A detection of halo ellipticity

- A significant detection of halo ellipticity for 18 clusters, at 7σ level compared to the spherical model
- The ellipticity ~0.5 on average
 - X-ray images show e~0.2-0.3
 - Galaxy scales: e~0.2
 - Can exclude MOND?
- Remarkable agreement with the CDM predictions
- Not enough to discriminate the model differences

Halo center



- Halo center, constrained from lensing, is close to the position of brightest central galaxy
- However, some clusters (about 10% fraction) show large offsets
- Imply that the BCG is oscillating around the potential well for some clusters
- Quantify the impact of systematic errors in the stacked cluster lensing analysis



Results: stacked lensing Okabe, MT+10

For Subaru data, only ~ 10 clusters are enough to obtain the high S/N signals v [arcmin] v [arcmin] 0.5 10 0.5 10 2 5 stacked signal : $\langle \Delta \Sigma_{+} \rangle \; [10^{15} h M_{\odot} M p c^{-2}]$ stacked signal : $\langle \Delta \Sigma_{+} \rangle [10^{15} h M_{\odot} M pc^{-2}]$ 10 clusters (M<6×10¹⁴h⁻¹M_) 9 clusters (M>6×10¹⁴h⁻¹M_o) 0.5 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 IS $(\gamma^{2}/d.o.f.=44.8/14)$ SIS $(\chi^2/d.o.f.=139/14)$ 0.05 0.05 $FW (\gamma^2/d.o.f.=6.20/13)$ NFW $(\chi^2/d.o.f.=9.45/13)$ $c_{-}=3.48^{+0.34}$ M_{-}=9.24^{+0.76} 0.02 0.2 0.02 0.2 (کل_×) (ک<mark>م</mark> 0 -0.2 -0.2 0.2 0.5 0.2 0.1 2 0.1 0.5 2 $r [h^{-1}Mpc]$ $r [h^{-1}Mpc]$

 $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{SIS} - \chi^2_{NFW} = 39$ and 129 for low - and high - mass samples, respectively



Hyper Suprime Camera Project

- * Upgrade the prime focus camera
- ***** Funded, started since 2006
- International collaboration: Japan (NAOJ, IPMU, Tokyo, Tohoku, Nagoya), Princeton, Taiwan
 - IPMU members (H. Aihara, MT, N. Yoshida): leading this project
- ✤ Field-of-View: ~10×Suprime-Cam
- * Keep the excellent image quality
- ~2000 sq. deg weak lensing survey starting from late 2012- (~5 years) Note: the current WL surveys ~100 sq. deg (but shallow)

~100Mpc(~300M light year)@z~0.5 \Rightarrow ~5deg γ ~O(0.01)





Other 8m Tels

Find >10^4 clusters with masses >10^14Msun
 Mapping the dark matter distribution on

cosmological distance scales

Goals of HSC survey

Explore the nature of dark energy through the lensing observables



- HSC can achieve a high S/N detection of stacked WL signals out to $z\sim 1.3$
- Small-angle signals are from one halo around each LRG (the mean halo mass and the average shape of mass profile)
- Large-angle signals are from the mass distribution in large-scale structure surrounding LRGs.

Revealing Dark Energy

- Japan is behind in this area
- measure the rate of acceleration
- ~100M galaxies
- precision imaging of galaxy shapes ⇒world class
- precision wide field spectrograph to measure distances ⇒ world leading!
- push the Japanese technology in precision control, optics, detectors, materials
- Mitsubishi Electric, Canon, Hamamatsu, Kyocera have been involved in R&D



Subaru (NAOJ)





HSC

PFS



- Gravitational lensing offers a unique means of measuring dark matter distribution in a cluster
- Subaru is the best facility for making accurate weak lensing measurements
- Measuring cluster masses is of critical importance for doing cosmology with cluster counting statistics
 - Various systematic issues need to be carefully studied: projection effect, miscentering effect, model uncertainty, source redshifts,
- Radial density profile and shape of dark matter distribution can be used to test the CDM predictions on small scales that are not constrained by CMB
- Carrying out cluster weak lens studies with Subaru data (so far 30 clusters, ~60 clusters until the end of 2010)
 - Finding the measured profile is consistent with NFW profile
 - A significant detection of the dark matter halo ellipticity, consistent with the CDM prediction
- The pilot study in preparation with Subaru HSC survey, aimed at exploring the nature and properties of DM and DE